**Suggested City Executive Board response to the recommendation of the Scrutiny Committee on Monitoring the Community Grant Programme – Report for 2016/16**

**Provided by the Board Member for Culture and Communities**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Recommendation*** | ***Agreed?*** | ***Comment*** |
| 1. That the wording of future reports is be more nuanced to reflect the fact that monitoring relies to a significant extent on self-assessment, and perhaps comes with a ‘health warning’, notwithstanding the evidently positive overall picture. | Agreed |  |
| 2. That consideration is given to including more qualitative data in future monitoring reports, a subset of which could be some form of equalities impact assessment. | Agreed | Case studies have always been included in this report, this year’s are in appendix 2. There has been an Equalities Impact Assessment undertaken as part of the grant review report. |
| 3. That future monitoring reports include data on the ‘spend per beneficiary’ of individual grant awards. | Agreed | We can do this but must be read in conjunction with qualitative data as it is an unreliable measure of how effectively a funded project has performed or achieved. |